

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 03/30/2004 IMPJ-0027A 5046 10/813,907 Christopher J. Diorio EXAMINER 49684 11/15/2006 IMPJ - THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP PHAN, TRONG Q THELEN REID & PREIEST LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 640640 SAN JOSE, CA 95164-0640 2827

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/813,907	DIORIO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
TRONG PHAN	2827

	TRONG PHAN	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) te with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	, affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set f		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoustices to the content of the con	ount of the fee. The approprioriginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a b	rief will not be entered b	20031150
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see		recause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	y reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separa	ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	,		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or attac	hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_ Phawbi TRONG F	ory
		TRONG F PRIMARY EX	PHAN (AMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The original specification only disclose the conventional method of adding electrons to or removing electrons from the floating gate of a non volatile memory cell in paragraph [0023] but no disclosure of "programming by way of one or both a charge-adding mechanism with a first floating gate device and a charge-removing mechanism with a second floating gate device of a nonvolatile memory element" as recited in claims 1, 19, 37, 55, 76 and 97.